## **EXHIBIT A**

## Reynolds, Steven J.

Cc:

From: Reynolds, Steven J.

**Sent:** Thursday, June 18, 2020 11:31 AM

**To:** 'karina.smith@bakerbotts.com'; jon.swenson@bakerbotts.com;

hop.guy@BakerBotts.com; andrew.wilson@BakerBotts.com

Koropp, David E.; Ives, Erik J.; Vanderporten, Steven L.; nick.baniel@bakerbotts.com

**Subject:** RE: (Cont.) Meet and Confer regarding Exhibit B and D documents

Thanks, Karina. I think we can live with these for purposes of initial settlement negotiations. Of course we reserve all rights as discussed below and may have to revisit portions after our client is finally able to see the documents (in redacted form).

I think we can take the 10/noon call off the calendar.

I will put together a shell joint status report. Shall we agree to exchange position statements at 3:00 pm PT / 5:00 pm CT?

Steve Reynolds

<u>sreynolds@foxswibel.com</u> | 312-224-1249 (direct) | 312-636-4674 (mobile)



FOX SWIBEL LEVIN & CARROLL LLP

200 W. Madison Street, Suite 3000 | Chicago, Illinois 60606 www.foxswibel.com | 312-224-1200 (main) | 312-224-1201 (fax)

**Confidentiality:** This transmission is for the exclusive and confidential use of the intended recipient. It may be an attorney-client communication and privileged. The unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this transmission is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify me by return mail or telephone and delete the transmission from your system. Thank you.

**From:** karina.smith@bakerbotts.com [mailto:karina.smith@bakerbotts.com]

Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2020 11:24 AM

To: Reynolds, Steven J.; jon.swenson@bakerbotts.com; hop.quy@BakerBotts.com; andrew.wilson@BakerBotts.com

Cc: Koropp, David E.; Ives, Erik J.; Vanderporten, Steven L.; nick.baniel@bakerbotts.com

Subject: RE: (Cont.) Meet and Confer regarding Exhibit B and D documents

Steve,

Thanks for your response.

As to page 51, your proposal is fine. In the attached, you'll see we've removed the redactions of "2017 Plan."

As to page 24, the quarter page leading up to Sakid Ahmed's (unredacted) 9:46 AM comment contains sensitive employee performance information and sensitive information regarding Cambium's performance in the region. However, we would be fine removing the redaction from that portion of the discussion except for on

. This should still provide sufficient

context for the previously unredacted comment.

These modifications are reflected in the attached. Please let us know if this resolves our disputes regarding redactions of documents for settlement purposes.

Best, Karina

From: Reynolds, Steven J. <sreynolds@foxswibel.com>

Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2020 8:09 AM

To: Smith, Karina <karina.smith@bakerbotts.com>; Swenson, Jon <jon.swenson@bakerbotts.com>; Guy, Hop

<hop.guy@BakerBotts.com>; Wilson, Andrew <andrew.wilson@BakerBotts.com>

Cc: Koropp, David E. <dkoropp@foxswibel.com>; Ives, Erik J. <eives@foxswibel.com>; Vanderporten, Steven L.

<svanderporten@foxswibel.com>; Baniel, Nick <nick.baniel@bakerbotts.com>

Subject: RE: (Cont.) Meet and Confer regarding Exhibit B and D documents

## [EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Karina,

Subject to our continued objections that none of these documents contain AEO material and with the understanding that we are agreeing to these redactions solely for purposes of advancing initial settlement discussions, the interrogatory documents appear to be fine. We will confirm by our meet/confer today.

On the exhibit B documents, we have a few remaining issues.

As to page 24, you initially redacted the entire document (pages 24-26 in the PDF). After our meet/confer yesterday, you agreed to take another look through the document and propose more specific redactions. In our discussion, I gave you one example of a portion that might be useful. Instead of looking at the document as a hole, you simply redacted the two lines I identified. At the very least—in the interest of moving this along—please consider redacting the quarter-of-a-page leading up to the redactions allowed. The fact that Alex plays Battlefield 1 is not AEO; nor is the fact that Sakid recognizes the game is graphically intensive. The remainder is context for the portion that now stands un-redacted.

As to page 51, we discussed redacting the overall revenue projection of "the 2017 Plan," with your representation that it applies to Cambium's entire business. The phrase, however, does not make sense without "2017 Plan" included. Will you agree to that adjustment?

-Steve

Steve Reynolds

sreynolds@foxswibel.com | 312-224-1249 (direct) | 312-636-4674 (mobile)

Fox Swibel

FOX SWIBEL LEVIN & CARROLL LLP

200 W. Madison Street, Suite 3000 | Chicago, Illinois 60606 <u>www.foxswibel.com</u> | 312-224-1200 (main) | 312-224-1201 (fax)

**Confidentiality:** This transmission is for the exclusive and confidential use of the intended recipient. It may be an attorney-client communication and privileged. The unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this transmission is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify me by return mail or telephone and delete the transmission from your system. Thank you.

From: karina.smith@bakerbotts.com [mailto:karina.smith@bakerbotts.com]

Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 10:43 PM

To: Reynolds, Steven J.; jon.swenson@bakerbotts.com; hop.quy@BakerBotts.com; andrew.wilson@BakerBotts.com